

PCP theorem: hardness of quadratic equations and verifier view

CS 6810—David Steurer

Spring 2016

1 Hardness of approximation for systems of quadratic equations

Problem (QuadEq). Given a matrix $A \in \{0, 1\}^{m \times n^2}$ and a vector $b \in \{0, 1\}^m$, find an assignment $w \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that

$$A(w \otimes w) = b \pmod{2}. \quad (1)$$

Here, \otimes is the [Kronecker product](#) for matrices and vectors. This operator is often pronounced “tensor”. In our setting, $w \otimes w$ is a n^2 -dimensional vector indexed by pairs (i, j) with $i, j \in [n]$ such that

$$(w \otimes w)_{ij} = w_i \cdot w_j. \quad (2)$$

Therefore, (1) is equivalent to a list of k homogeneous quadratic equations in the variables w_1, \dots, w_n ,

$$\forall k \in [m]. \quad \sum_{i, j \in [n]} A_{k, ij} w_i w_j = b_k \pmod{2}. \quad (3)$$

It is NP-complete to decide if for a given instance of QuadEq all equations can be satisfied.

Can we efficiently find an assignment that satisfies many equations of a given satisfiable system?

Notation. Let MaxQuadEq be the problem of finding an assignment that satisfies as many equations as possible. Let $\text{opt}(A, b)$ denote the maximum fraction of satisfied equations over all assignments w .

The following theorem shows that it is NP-hard to achieve an approximation ratio of 0.51 for MaxQuadEq.

Theorem. There exists a (randomized) polynomial-time function f that maps every MaxQuadEq instance (A, b) to a MaxQuadEq instance (A', b') such that

- **YES:** If $\text{opt}(A, b) = 1$, then $\text{opt}(A', b') = 1$.
- **NO:** If $\text{opt}(A, b) < 1$, then $\text{opt}(A', b') < 0.51$ with probability 0.99 over the randomness of the function f .

Aside: A random assignment achieves approximation ratio 1/4. It is NP-hard to achieve a strictly larger approximation ratio. For satisfiable instances, approximation ratio 3/8 is possible and it is NP-hard to achieve a strictly larger approximation ratio.

1.1 Proof of theorem

Let (A, b) be an instance of QuadEq with n variables and m equations. Let $R \in \{0, 1\}^{d \times m}$ be a random matrix for d to be determined later. (We can choose $d = 1000n$.)

We define the randomized function f by choosing $A' = RA$ and $b' = Rb$. In other words, the resulting system of quadratic equations $RA(w \otimes w) = Rb$ consists of d random linear combinations of the original system $A(w \otimes w) = b$.

YES case: If $\text{opt}(A, b) = 1$, then there exists an assignment w that satisfies the quadratic system $A(w \otimes w) = b$. The same assignment also satisfies $RA(w \otimes w) = b$. Therefore, $\text{opt}(A', b') = 1$.

NO case: Suppose $\text{opt}(A, b) < 1$. We will show the following claim.

Claim: For every assignment $w \in \{0, 1\}^n$,

$$\mathbb{P}_R \left\{ w \text{ satisfies at least } 0.51d \text{ equations of } (A', b') \right\} < 0.01 \cdot 2^{-n}. \quad (4)$$

This claim implies the NO case of the theorem by the union bound.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_R \left\{ \text{opt}(A', b') \geq 0.51 \right\} &\leq \sum_{w \in \{0, 1\}^n} \mathbb{P}_R \left\{ w \text{ satisfies at least } 0.51d \text{ equations of } (A', b') \right\} \\ &< 2^n \cdot 0.01 \cdot 2^{-n} \quad \text{by Claim} \\ &\leq 0.01. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

It remains to prove the claim.

Proof of claim: Since the system (A, b) is not satisfiable, the vector $y = A(w \otimes w) \pmod 2$ is not the 0 vector. Therefore, $Ry \pmod 2$ is a uniformly random vector in $\{0, 1\}^d$. (**Exercise.**) Thus, the random variables X_1, \dots, X_d with $X_i = 1 - (Ry)_i$ are independent Bernoulli variables with probability 1/2 of being equal to 1. Note that $X_i = 1$ if assignment w satisfies the i -th constraint of the system (A', b') and $X_i = 0$ otherwise. Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^d X_i$ is the number of constraints of (A', b') satisfied by w . By the [Chernoff bound](#),

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d X_i \geq (1 + \varepsilon)d/2 \right\} \leq e^{-\varepsilon^2 d/6}. \quad (6)$$

We choose $\varepsilon = 2/100$ and $d = 12n/\varepsilon^2$. Then for $n \geq 6$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d X_i \geq 0.51d \right\} \leq e^{-\varepsilon^2 d/6} = e^{-2n} \leq 2^{-n} \cdot 2^{-n} \leq 0.01 \cdot 2^{-n}. \quad (7)$$

This bound proves the claim because by our choice of X_1, \dots, X_d , the event $\sum_{i=1}^d X_i \geq 0.51d$ is the same as the event that w satisfies at least $0.51d$ equations of (A', b') . \square

2 Verifier view of the PCP theorem

A useful definition of NP is in terms of polynomial time verifiers.

Let $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ be a language. Then, $L \in \text{NP}$ if and only if there exists a polynomial-time algorithm V and a polynomial p such that

$$L = \{x \mid \exists \pi. |\pi| \leq p(|x|) \wedge V(x, \pi) = 1\} \quad (8)$$

In words, NP consists of all decision problems such that every YES instance of the problem has a proof for being a YES instance that can be checked in time polynomial in the length of the instance.

Recall the statement of the PCP theorem.

PCP theorem: There exists a polynomial-time function f that maps every 3Sat instance x to a Max3Sat instance φ with the following properties:

- **YES:** if x is satisfiable then $\text{opt}(\varphi) = 1$
- **NO:** if x is not satisfiable then $\text{opt}(\varphi) < 0.99$

Using the function f from the statement of this theorem we can construct a randomized verifier for 3Sat.

Randomized verifier V_{PCP} for 3Sat:

Given: 3Sat instance x and a purported proof π of satisfiability

- compute Max3Sat instance $\varphi = f(x)$,
- choose clauses C_1, \dots, C_t uniformly at random from φ for $t = 1000$,
- check that π satisfies clauses C_1, \dots, C_t .¹

This randomized verifier has the following remarkable properties:

Properties of V_{PCP} :

- **YES:** if x is satisfiable, then there exists π such that $V_{PCP}(x, \pi) = 1$ with probability 1.
- **NO:** if x is not satisfiable, then every π satisfies $V_{PCP}(x, \pi) = 1$ with probability at most 0.001.
- **Efficiency:** V_{PCP} runs in polynomial time and in addition satisfies:
- **Queries:** V_{PCP} on inputs x and π queries at most $O(1)$ positions of π . (The number of queries is independent of the length of the inputs.)
- **Randomness:** V_{PCP} on inputs x and π uses at most $O(1) \cdot \log|x|$ random bits.

The YES and NO case properties of the verifier V_{PCP} are similar to the properties of a polynomial-time verifier for 3Sat in the sense of (8). The difference is that in the NO case V_{PCP} is allowed to answer incorrectly with low probability over the internal randomness of V_{PCP} .

The key property V_{PCP} is about query efficiency. This randomized can verify the correctness of a purported satisfiability proof π by examining only a constant number of bits in the proof.

It turns out that any randomized verifier with the properties above can be used to construct a function f as described in the statement of the PCP theorem above.

Informal statement of the PCP theorem: There exists a randomized polynomial-time algorithm that can verify the correctness of a purported satisfiability proof by examining only a constant number of bits of it.

Footnotes

1. Here, we view π as an assignment for the variables of φ . Therefore, in order to check whether π satisfies the clauses C_1, \dots, C_t we only need to query the assigned values for the $3t$ variables that appears in the clauses C_1, \dots, C_t .